

## Applications of Magnetic Targeting in Diagnosis and Therapy—Possibilities and Limitations: A Mini-Review

W. SCHÜTT,<sup>1</sup> C. GRÜTTNER,<sup>2</sup> U. HÄFELI,<sup>3</sup> M. ZBOROWSKI,<sup>3</sup> J. TELLER,<sup>2</sup> H. PUTZAR,<sup>1</sup>  
and C. SCHÜMICHEN<sup>1</sup>

### INTRODUCTION

**S**MALL PARTICLES IN THE MICRO- AND NANOMETER RANGES possess increasing importance as diagnostic and therapeutic tools in medicine, as well as other areas of life sciences (for reviews see refs. 1–5). The discovery of uniform latex particles by polymer chemists of the Dow Chemical Company nearly 50 years ago opened up different exciting fields and established many new applications. Developed on the basis of small particles, many *in vitro* diagnostic tests such as phagocytosis and latex agglutination tests have become routine, and the same is true for the use of microspheres in high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) applications. Further developments are still ongoing, and the focus has now shifted to applications of polymer particles in the controlled and directed transport of drugs in living systems.

The ongoing studies in this area are very promising, and this is partly due to three factors: First, there are now biocompatible polymer particles available that contain exact drug amounts and release them in a controlled fashion. Second, these microspheres have enhanced circulation time in the blood, which is mainly due to their modified and functionalized surface, thus influencing the biodistribution and overcoming the reticuloendothelial system (= RES) uptake. Microsphere targeting to areas other than the liver, as well as modifying their adsorption behavior to blood proteins, is therefore possible.<sup>(3)</sup> Finally, the combination with biologically active molecules, such as proteins, peptides, hormones, lectins, or antibodies, and the use of fluorescent and radioactive markers is the basis of the large variety of well-established and original concepts of future biomedical applications.

The development of magnetically responsive microspheres brought an additional driving force into play. Magnetic forces can be used to move the particles in directed fashion, to target and to hold them *in vivo* at anatomical sites with restricted access, and also to track and separate cells and protein molecules *in vitro* for the directed particle movement, targeting and hold-

ing at the restricted anatomic sites by *in vivo* experiments as well as for cell and protein tracking and separation *in vitro*.<sup>(6)</sup> Therefore, magnetically responsive microspheres are the basis of several new procedures in molecular and cellular biology and have led to new clinical diagnostic and therapeutic concepts.

For the last two decades, the wide size distribution of the available microsphere preparations was limiting *in vivo* studies with magnetic particles due to rapid RES uptake. Therefore, it was only natural that new developments in the production methods for uniformly sized particles and in the prolongation of blood circulation times brought renewed interest to the old ideas of magnetic drug delivery. This minireview seeks to outline the possibilities and limitations of magnetic targeting in drug, radiation, hyperthermia, and genetic therapy. These concepts are treated more extensively in a book written by the participants of the first international meeting on the scientific and clinical applications of magnetic carriers, which was organized by the Cleveland Clinic and the University of Rostock in September 1996.<sup>(7)</sup>

### CLASSIFICATION AND APPLICATIONS OF MAGNETIC NANO- AND MICROPARTICLES

Many industrial applications of colloidal iron oxide solutions, so-called ferrofluids, have been described and are used in loudspeakers, recording technology, as paint ingredients, and in electrochemical devices.<sup>(8)</sup> Pharmaceutical ferrofluids differ from these industrial colloidal preparations in that preparations for biomedical use must be water-based, biocompatible, non-toxic, and nonimmunogenic.

The application of magnetically responsive microspheres for the biophysical targeting of antitumor agents and other drugs has already been reviewed by Widder *et al.*<sup>(9)</sup> and Gupta and Hung,<sup>(10)</sup> respectively. A comprehensive overview of the application of magnetic particles in biomagnetic separation processes was given by Uhlen *et al.*<sup>(11)</sup> Tables 1 and 2 provide

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany.

<sup>2</sup>MicroCaps GmbH Rostock, Germany.

<sup>3</sup>The Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio.

TABLE 1. BIODEGRADABLE MAGNETIC PARTICLES

<i>Matrix of magnetic particles</i>	<i>Biomedical application</i>	<i>References</i>
Erythrocytes	Drug targeting	12,13
	Cell separation	14
Liposomes	Drug targeting	15,16
	Immobilization of membrane-bound enzymes	17-20
Phospholipides	Drug targeting	21-23
	Cell separation	24
Albumin	Drug targeting	25
	Cell separation	26-28
Starch	MRI	29
	Radiotherapy	30-32
Poly(lactic acid)	Radiotherapy	33,34
	Cell separation	35
Dextran	Enzyme immobilization	36-39
	MRT	40-42
Chitosan	Local hyperthermia	43
	Drug delivery	44
Polyalkylcyanoacrylate	Immunoenzyme assay	45,46
	Drug targeting	47
Polyethylene imine	Drug targeting	48

a short summary of the different types of magnetic particles, together with examples of specific biomedical applications. Magnetic particles are usually classified in biodegradable (Table 1) and nonbiodegradable (Table 2) particles.

### SURFACE MODIFICATION AND FUNCTIONALIZATION

The different applications of magnetic microspheres require preparations of microspheres with specific reactive sites for a variety of surface modifications. Magnetic microspheres made from polysaccharides or proteins already contain functional groups such as OH, NH<sub>2</sub>, SH, and/or COOH. They can be directly activated or used for the introduction of functional groups. Typical methods of polysaccharide activation are (i) the oxidation of the polysaccharides by periodate to form corresponding polyaldehydes,<sup>(44)</sup> (ii) the preparation of an azid of the polysaccharide,<sup>(55)</sup> (iii) the activation by cyanogen halides or organic cyanates to form the corresponding imidocarbonate derivatives,<sup>(55)</sup> (iv) the preparation of bromo- or chlorohydroxy-

propyl derivatives through a reaction with bromo- or chloro-epoxypropane,<sup>(55)</sup> and (v) the activation of hydroxy groups via their tosylates.<sup>(56)</sup>

In general, particles prepared with polysaccharides or with synthetic polymers can be functionalized or activated after the particles are formed. The most important methods for the activation of synthetic magnetic polymers have been summarized by Ugelstad *et al.*<sup>(56)</sup> and rely heavily on the chemistry of active ester synthesis and the methods of carboxyl-activated microspheres.<sup>(57-59)</sup> Additionally, synthetic microspheres can be functionalized or activated by copolymerization of monomers containing suitable functional groups, by chemical surface changes or special coating techniques.<sup>(2)</sup>

### PARTICLE AND SURFACE CHARACTERIZATION

The absolute size, the size distribution, and the degree of aggregation are important physical characteristics of magnetic carriers. First measurements are always done by light and electron

TABLE 2. NON-BIODEGRADABLE MAGNETIC PARTICLES

<i>Matrix of magnetic particles</i>	<i>Biomedical application</i>	<i>References</i>
Ethylcellulose	Arterial chemoembolization	49
	Magnetic separation of specific bacteria,	50
Synthetic polymers ( <i>e.g.</i> , polystyrene, polymethylmethacrylate)	Viruses and parasites	51
	mRNA purification	50
	Isolation of specific genomic sequences	50
	Purification of the templates from contaminants that inhibit the PCR	50
	Detoxification of the blood	52,53
	Magnet-assays	54

microscopy in combination with image analysis. Such absolute data are necessary for the calibration of the faster and statistically more reliable measurements with light scattering and photon correlation spectroscopy.<sup>(60)</sup> Atomic force microscopy is also valuable for the characterization of the atomic surface structure, the surface modifications, and the alterations taking place during the adsorption of biological molecules. To get information about the magnetic properties of individual particles and their statistical distribution, half- and fully-automated microscopic magnetophoresis can be used.<sup>(61,62)</sup>

The magnetic responsiveness of magnetic particles in a liquid stream can be measured with a very simple constant-flow apparatus in which the particle accumulation is detected by optical or radiolabeling methods.<sup>(63)</sup> The magnetophoretic velocity depends on the Brownian motion, the fluid velocities and the very strong inhomogeneous magnetic fields applied to the system. Depending on the velocity profile in the tube, the viscosity of the medium, the particle size, and the particle magnetization, the percentage of retention of the particles can be calculated.<sup>(64)</sup> Using physiological flow conditions (0.05–10 cm/s) and a unipolar magnetic arrangement, the retention of small particles down to 100 nm has been confirmed.

Exact measurements of the surface charge of particles are important for the prediction of the *in vivo* effects such as opsonization, altered circulation times and also biodistribution changes. Examples for surface charge effects have been shown in liposomes as drug carriers<sup>(20)</sup> and in polymer particles as MR contrast agents.<sup>(65)</sup> The measurements can be realized with automated techniques based on (i) single-particle measurements by microscopic image tracking and analysis,<sup>(66)</sup> (ii) image transduction techniques, or (iii) laser Doppler effect techniques. To improve the value of electrokinetic studies, the method of electrophoretic fingerprinting to analyze different batches of polymer carriers has been introduced.<sup>(67)</sup> Electrophoretic fingerprints or templates are three-dimensional representations of the mean electrophoretic mobility of a given particle suspension versus pH and ionic strength of the media. These fingerprints represent a surface, described by isomobility lines over all pertinent electrochemical conditions. They are very sensitive to surface modifications and adsorption processes, and the correlation between these fingerprints and the biodistribution is currently being studied.

### CELL SEPARATION AND PURIFICATION

Magnetic separation with magnetic particles is a widespread technique that is already successfully adapted for biological applications. After specific attachment of strongly magnetizable microparticles, biological cells or molecules can be tracked in an inhomogeneous magnetic field. Winoto-Morbach and Tchikov<sup>(24)</sup> have developed an automated microscopic analysis system for the rapid counting of labeled and unlabeled cells. They demonstrated that the binding of only one magnetic microsphere via at least one (1) receptor lead to magnetophoretic movement of a lymphocyte.

The possibility to separate specific cells bound to magnetic microspheres in an inhomogeneous magnetic field allows for the separation or purification of cell subpopulations (for review see ref. 11). This can be done easily in a tube batch by batch,

applying separate loading and elution steps<sup>(34)</sup> or continuously in a chamber with laminar flow conditions under the influence of an inhomogeneous magnetic field.<sup>(68)</sup> The magnetic moments, induced by the magnetic field, will force the magnetically labeled biological cells or molecules to deviate toward the direction of the magnetic forces. The focused stream of magnetic particles is then collected into separate vials. This technique is used for many important medical applications in a continuously growing field. Examples are the removal of tumor cells from bone marrow, the separation of subpopulations of lymphoid cells, the isolation of "single" tumor cells or bacteria for diagnosis. Additionally, the purification and fractionation of biological molecules using magnetic separation are already well-established methods.<sup>(11,69,70)</sup>

### BIODISTRIBUTION

Information about the kinetics and mechanisms of biotransformation of colloidal magnetic suspensions, their aggregational behavior, and the biodistribution upon introduction into living system is essential for *in vivo* applications in medicine. Interactions between polymer particles and biological systems depend on the polymer structure, the surface composition, size, electric surface charge, hydrophilicity, adsorption behavior of biological molecules, *etc.* The natural fate of microspheres is to circulate with blood plasma, transfer to the interstitial fluid (extravasation) and to lymph (drainage), and then to return to plasma via lymphatic vessels through chains of lymph nodes. The clearance from circulation is mediated by interaction with cells, especially of the RES system, extracellular matrix, blood proteins, and renal filtration. Particles larger than 5–10 nm cannot penetrate the endothelium by small vascular pores or by transcytotic vesicles after binding to transcytosis-associated receptors. The permeability of these barriers, however, can be increased temporarily by several exogenic factors such as drugs, immune modulators, heat, and radiation.

The *in vivo* kinetics and biodistribution of the particles can be altered by blood protein absorption (opsonization) or by direct cellular recognition. These processes are associated with rapid aggregation or phagocytosis resulting in the distribution of the material mainly in the liver and spleen. As an example, albumin or galactose microspheres used in clinical studies for imaging are cleared from the blood within seconds, preventing their use in many imaging and other applications.

Papisov<sup>(38)</sup> showed by means of different idealized models that the transfer processes of large non-extravasating and small extravasating polymer particles are distinctively different. Although polymers of both classes may consist of the same constituents, their *in vivo* localization in liquid compartments and capability of cooperative interaction with components of the biological systems, *e.g.*, opsonization triggering the phagocytosis, interaction with immunocomplexes, complement activation, and therefore their biokinetics may be essentially different. Additionally, functional groups on the particle surface can decrease the circulation time of both small and large polymers mainly by increasing the amount of opsonization and also by enhancing or changing the recognition of receptors present on cell surfaces. Therefore, even larger particles with functional groups

could be targeted to areas with pathological processes, which often show increased vascular permeability.

Papisov *et al.* concluded that negatively charged and essentially neutral particle surfaces provide the longest circulation time.<sup>(37)</sup> The connection of the surface charge measured indirectly by electrophoresis and the circulation time has been demonstrated for other particles too, *e.g.*, liposomes with different lipid compositions.<sup>(19)</sup> Some progress was achieved in reducing the rapid clearance of small particles by the attachment of poloxamer or polysorbate to nonbiodegradable polystyrene and polymethylmethacrylate particles, or by the creation of liposomes or other carriers containing glycolipids, albumin, or derivatives of polyethylene glycol (PEG).<sup>(65)</sup> Gref *et al.*<sup>(71)</sup> found that the blood circulation time of the particles increases as the molecular weight of the covalently linked PEG increases. Five hours after injection, only one-third of the high-molecular-weight PEG-coated nanospheres were captured by the liver in comparison to the uncoated particles. This phenomenon was explained by an increased thickness of the protective PEG layer, thus preventing opsonization. The minimization of the particle surface interaction with biological systems and the special functional surface interaction with biological systems and the special functional surface modification for targeting are opposites, but they nevertheless are key factors for the development of useful polymer carriers.

### MAGNETIC RESONANCE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has demonstrated excellent diagnostic sensitivity for the distinction of normal and pathologic tissue due to the intrinsic differences of their hydrogen atom relaxation times. Various magnetopharmaceuticals, small particles that modify the proton relaxation parameters, have been developed to increase both diagnostic sensitivity and specificity.<sup>(72)</sup> The selective distribution of a contrast agent in normal and pathological tissue depends on the surface properties of the nanoparticles and results in increased contrast and therefore improved detection of disease. The targeting efficiency for specific anatomical sites can be enhanced by surface modifications using biological active substances such as antibodies or receptor ligands. Such altered nanoparticles will then seek, find, and bind to the target tissue, thus enhancing the MRI contrast and allowing for easy detection. The use of monoclonal antibodies for the receptor-mediated uptake of colloidal contrast agents is such an approach.<sup>(73)</sup>

### DRUG TRANSPORT

One of the major challenges in cancer therapy is the delivery of antineoplastic agents to remote, difficult-to-reach anatomic sites. Thus, specific cell targeting enhances the delivery efficiency and at the same time reduces the toxicity and side effects to normal tissue. Many different methods currently are being tested, such as pH-sensitive and thermosensitive liposomes, which selectively release the cytotoxic agents in the

target area due to pH changes occurring there<sup>(74)</sup> or due to (forced) local hyperthermia,<sup>(75)</sup> to name a few.

In 1978 a new concept of targeted drug delivery was introduced by Widder *et al.*<sup>(9,76)</sup> They developed different magnetic pharmaceuticals, such as magnetic erythrocytes, magnetic albumin, and polymer microspheres, for the delivery of cytotoxic drugs. Their intravenous application in the presence of a magnet externally applied to the tumor areas leads to increased tumor uptake of the drug as compared to the same experiment without applied magnetic field and field gradient. The drug is then released locally from the magnetic carrier and exerts its pharmacological effect at the cellular level of the tumor tissue, sparing the normal tissue. This attractive method of drug localization depends on an abundant vascular supply of the targeted tissue and an accessibility to strong magnetic fields. Only in such cases where a strong inhomogeneous magnetic field can be brought close enough to or induced in the blood vessels and capillaries of the tumor can magnetic guiding of particles be successful.

The principle of injecting magnetic carriers into the supplying vein and subsequent retention has been demonstrated successfully in many different *in vivo* systems. The magnetic carriers were injected into the tail vein,<sup>(27,28,77-79)</sup> right/left ear,<sup>(25)</sup> kidney,<sup>(47,80,81)</sup> and lung.<sup>(80,81)</sup> All of the above authors found a 3- to 10-fold increase of microsphere retention in the target tissue; however, quite a large amount of the particles ended up in the liver. This effect was put to use by one group who explored intrahepatic targeting and hyperthermic treatment of cancerous areas in the liver with an on-line liver perfusion system, injecting thermosensitive magnetoliposomes and applying an external magnetic field.<sup>(82)</sup>

The highest increase of the therapeutic index of the associated drug, namely up to 10- to 100 fold, was demonstrated in tail vein experiments in rats and has not been shown by any other drug-targeting device.<sup>(77-79)</sup> From the protracted retention of the carrier after removing the magnetic field for more than 1 day, Widder has concluded that the carrier might be lodged in the vascular endothelium or had possibly traversed the vascular basement membrane into the interstitial tissue.<sup>(9)</sup> This would be desirable because the microspheres would then serve as extravascular depots releasing the drug at the desired site. Alternatively, partial thrombosis due to conglomeration of the microspheres could also be responsible for the continued retention of carrier.

Other work in medical *in vivo* applications of magnetic carriers includes Orekhova *et al.*'s use of magnet-loaded red cells to concentrate locally and intra-arterially in a constant magnetic field of a miniature magnetic positioned outside of the artery.<sup>(13)</sup> These carriers were loaded with an anti-thrombotic drug for the prevention of clot formation after surgery.

Further success was achieved by Allen *et al.*,<sup>(83)</sup> who developed a magnetic drug-releasing system based on polymer particles with a diameter of 1-2  $\mu\text{m}$ , which can be guided to a depth of 10 cm by external magnetic fields of less than 15,000 oe. This distance has not been achieved before in biological systems, and the first clinical studies are now underway. Additionally, Gallo *et al.* achieved an enhanced delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs to brain tumors by using magnetic chitosan microspheres and magnetic guidance by a factor of 3-5.<sup>(84)</sup>

### HYPERTHERMIA

Colloidal magnetic particle suspensions exposed to a strong alternating magnetic field can be used for heat induction.<sup>(40-42)</sup> The power absorption depends in the case of multidomain ferrite particles on the hysteresis curve and in the case of ferrofluids, which are subdomain particles of 1–100 nm, on mechanical particle rotation. Both mechanisms lead to energy loss into the surrounding area which expresses itself as locally induced hyperthermia only at the spot where magnetic particles have been placed or targeted.<sup>(40-42,85-89)</sup>

Jordan<sup>(41)</sup> has systematically investigated the influence of specific particle attributes such as size, shape, composition, manufacturing details, and also external parameters such as the magnetic field strength and frequency. It was found that ferrofluids are the most promising particles for hyperthermia due to their superior specific absorption rate. The ferrofluids were then used in a further study<sup>(42)</sup> to induce hyperthermia in an intramuscularly implanted mouse tumor. For this purpose, a combined magnetic targeting/infiltration procedure was used. Although not all of the magnetic fluid could be retained in the tumor area, a 2.5-fold increase of the tumor iron with a maximum at 30 min after injection was observed.

Magnetic fluid hyperthermia is not limited to cancer therapy. Noninvasive thrombolysis in fine capillaries is currently being discussed. It would be possible with magnetic fluids after puncture at supporting vessels combined with magnetic guidance. And in reversed order, magnetic carriers can even induce blood coagulation by hyperthermic treatment of the target capillaries.

Further enhancements in magnetic fluid hyperthermia are possible by combining it with other treatment modalities such as radiation and/or chemotherapy.<sup>(90)</sup> Some of the techniques for this purpose already exist, e.g., drugs incorporated into magnetic microspheres can be dissolved in a programmable fashion by local hyperthermia.<sup>(40,91)</sup> The particles used for hyperthermia must also be optimized further for physical characteristics, and, very importantly, for targeting characteristics to malignant cells by employing all the techniques already discussed above, e.g., the combination with antibodies.

### RADIONUCLIDE DELIVERY

The success of radionuclide therapy depends on the critical relationship between the radionuclide amount reaching the target tissue and the amount ending up in the normal tissue most sensitive to that radioisotope. This concept was enormously popular less than a decade ago, and many groups tried to use antibody–radionuclide complexes to treat cancerous tissue. It was thought that the antibody would exclusively and specifically bind to the tumor, similar to the key-lock situation where only one key is able to open the lock. After binding, the radioisotope would irradiate and kill the tumor cells. The advantage of radiation over, for example, cytotoxic drugs is that radiolabeled particles can deposit a dose and produce biological damage over a defined, radioisotope dependent distance. The radioactive carrier therefore only needs to be brought, by means of a strong external magnetic field, near the tumor and will then from there irradiate the tumor cells.

However, the successful implementation of radioimmunotherapy for the treatment of cancer has proven to be considerably more difficult than initially anticipated. Many different factors lead to only a moderate radiolabeled antibody uptake of always less than 2% per gram of tissue, and the low uptake probably was largely due to a large amount of the antibodies being filtered out in the liver soon after injection. The tumor blood flow was also found to be heterogeneous, the antigen was nonuniformly distributed throughout the tumor cells as well as among regions of tumors, and the uptake and binding of the antibodies to tumor cells actually produced areas with high concentrations of antibodies, which then acted as “binding site” barriers to further antibody penetration into the tumor.<sup>(92)</sup> Furthermore, a high percentage of patients developed an immune response toward the antibody, which results first in altered biodistribution by forming immune complexes and second did not allow a second antibody application.

Several attempts have been made to overcome these problems, e.g., by immunoadsorption of circulating antigen, removal of unbound antibody from the plasma by plasmapheresis, enhancement of interstitial antibody–radionuclide transport by vasoactive agents, immunomodulators, pre-irradiation, and/or heat.<sup>(93-95)</sup> In addition, the electric charge of the radiolabeled antibodies plays an important role for the unspecific nontarget organ sequestration and must be adjusted for maximum performance.<sup>(96,97)</sup> Unfortunately, the benefits of these attempts were moderate.

A more promising and, at least in the animal experiment, successful application of magnetic radioactive microspheres sized between 10 and 30  $\mu\text{m}$  is the intracavitary treatment of tumors in animals.<sup>(30-32)</sup> The amount of radioactivity actually delivered to the tumor increased from 6% of the injected amount to 73%, just by attaching a round, 9-mm diameter and 1-mm-thick magnet on the skin surface above the tumor. And the use of 120  $\mu\text{Ci}$  of the radioisotope Yttrium-90 actually lead to the total disappearance of four out of six tumors.

We conclude from these experiments that, in certain anatomic areas and by using adequate magnet setups, the targeting of magnetic radiopharmaceuticals such as radiolabeled magnetic microspheres or liposomes and also magnetic antibody–radionuclide complexes is promising for the complete tumor eradication *in vivo*. Further enhancements, however, are necessary to turn the magnetic guidance of radionuclides in cavities or in the blood circulation into more than just a curiosity. The necessary improvements might include the preparation of particles with more uniform size, higher maximal magnetization, longer circulation times in blood by modifying the surface with, for example, polyethylene glycols (PEG),<sup>(36-38,40,71)</sup> and better receptor-mediated targeting by conjugating the surface with antibodies or polysaccharides.<sup>(43,84,98)</sup>

Further improvements are also needed in the development of strong but small magnets and magnet setups. An excellent system for the movement of relatively large, about 3-mm-long magnetic seeds has recently been tested by McNeil *et al.*<sup>(99-101)</sup> It looks like a helmet containing several superconducting magnets and can move the magnetic seed within the brain with high accuracy to any location, thus making it an ideal delivery system of, for example, radioisotopes. For the case of magnetic radioisotope delivery after intravenous administration, much smaller microspheres must be employed.

Magnetic nanospheres in a size range of 110–140 nm seem to be ideal because they first avoid the parenchymal liver uptake by bypassing the fenestration due to being larger than 100 nm, but second are also small enough to minimize the RES uptake by the liver's Kupffer cells.<sup>(29)</sup> Additionally, they can be retained by currently available magnets at biological flow rates, as was recently shown in an *in vitro* circulation model system using a gamma-camera for the detection of the radioactive microspheres.<sup>(29)</sup>

## OUTLOOK

Magnetic drug delivery by particulate carriers is an efficient method to obtain high local drug concentrations at localized disease sites. Moreover, many unwanted side effects from non-targeted drugs in the systemic circulation can be reduced by using magnetic carriers. However, much developmental work is still ahead in the area of magnetic targeting. The effective retention of microspheres is restricted to areas relatively close to the body surface, and even in the case where magnetic producing high-magnetic-field gradients are applied. Compact and powerful magnets solving these problems must and can be built based on the new superconducting magnet technique; however, it is not a simple situation because each target organ probably will require a special setup.

The developments in physical, targeting, and surface characteristics of magnetic microspheres are ongoing, and the ideal magnetic carrier looks different for different applications. However, it can be said that ideal magnetic particles should be highly responsive to an external magnetic field, nontoxic, biocompatible, and show low uptake in the reticuloendothelial system (low "background").

Current and future developments will use magnetic carriers more and more for combination therapies. The first step will always be to deliver the particles to the target area, followed by the actual therapy either by releasing a drug (chemotherapy) in a very defined fashion (immediately or over time), by irradiating the area (radiotherapy), or by inductive heating (hyperthermia). Very exciting combinations are possible such as, for example, the release of a radiosensitizer in the target area which then allows for differential cell kill by external radiation. Similarly, the magnetic carriers could be used to deliver boronated compounds to the area in preparation for boron-neutron-capture therapy. For the last 20 years, the combination of hyperthermia and radiation has shown very good results *in vitro*; however, the translation into the clinic never worked, but could succeed in a combination approach using magnetically targeted hyperthermia.

## REFERENCES

- Davis SS, Illum L, McVie JG, and Tomlinson E: *Microspheres and Drug Therapy. Pharmaceutical, Immunological and Medical Aspects*. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., New York, Oxford, 1984.
- Arshady R: Microspheres for biomedical applications: Preparation of reactive and labelled microspheres. *Biomaterials* 1993;14:5–15.
- Müller RH, Mehnert W, Lucks JS, Schwarz C, zur Mühlen A, Weyhers H, Freitas C, and Rühl D: Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN)—an alternative colloidal carrier system for controlled drug delivery. *Eur J Biopharm* 1995;41:62–69.
- Müller RH, and Paulke BR: Kolloide als Arzneistoffträger in der Medizin. *Spektrum der Wissenschaft* 1994;102–106.
- Falkenhagen MD: Small Particles in Medicine. *Artificial Organs* 1995;19:792–794.
- Ugelstad J, Rembaum A, Kemshead JT, Nustad K, Funderut S, and Schmid R: Preparation and biomedical applications of monodisperse polymer particles. In: *Microspheres and Drug Therapy: Pharmaceutical, Immunological and Medical Aspects*. Davis SS, Illum L, McVie JG, and Tomlinson E (Eds.). Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., New York, Oxford B.V., 1984, 365–382.
- Schütt W, Häfeli U, Teller J, and Zborowsky M (Eds.): *Scientific and Clinical Applications of Magnetic Carriers*. Plenum, New York, London, 1987 (in press).
- Raj K, and Moskowitz R: Commercial applications of ferrofluids. *J Magn Magn Mat* 1990;85:233–245.
- Widder KJ, Senyei AE, and Ranney DF: Magnetically responsive microspheres and other carriers for the biophysical targeting of antitumor agents. *Adv Pharm Chemotherapy* 1979;16:213–268.
- Gupta PK, and Hung CT: Magnetically controlled targeted micro-carrier systems. *Life Sciences* 1989;44:175–186.
- Uhlen M, Hornes E, and Olsvik O: *Advances in Biomagnetic Separation*. Eaton Publishing, Natick, 1994.
- Zimmermann U, and Pilwat G: Organ specific application of drugs by means of cellular capsule systems. *Z Naturforsch* 1976;31:732–736.
- Orekhova NM, Akchurin RS, Belyaev AA, Smirnov MD, Ragimov SE, and Orekhov AN: Local prevention of thrombosis in animal arteries by means of magnetic targeting of aspirin loaded red cells. *Thrombosis Res* 1990;57:611–616.
- Plavins J, and Lauva M: Study of colloidal magnetite binding erythrocytes: prospects for cell separation. *J Magn Magn Mat* 1993;122:349–353.
- Kiwada H, Sato J, Yamada S, and Kato Y: Feasibility of magnetic liposomes as a targeting device for drugs. *Chem Pharm Bull* 1986;34:4253–4258.
- Gregoriadis G, Kirby C, and Senior J: In: *Topics in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, Breimer DD and Speiser PP (Eds.). Elsevier, North Holland 1981, and ref. cited in 10.
- De Cuyper M, De Meulenaer B, Van der Meeren P, and Vanderdeelen J: Enzymatic activity of cytochrome c-oxidase inserted into magnetoliposomes differing in surface charge density. *Biocatal Biotransform* 1995;13:77–87.
- De Cuyper M, De Meulenaer B, and Van der Meeren P: Catalytic durability of magnetoproteoliposomes captured by high-gradient magnetic forces in a miniature fixed-bed reactor. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1996;49:654–658.
- De Cuyper M: Impact of the surface charge of magnetoproteoliposomes on the enzymatic oxidation of cytochrome C. *Progr Colloid Polym Sci* 1996;100 (in press).
- De Cuyper: Applications of magnetoproteoliposomes in bioreactors operating in high-gradient magnetic fields. *Handbook of Non-medical Applications of Liposomes* 1996;III:325–339.
- Widder KJ, Senyei AE, and Scarpelli DG: Magnetic Microspheres: A model system for site specific drug delivery in vivo. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1978;58:141–146.
- Gallo JM, and Hassan EE: Receptor-mediated magnetic carriers: basis for targeting. *Pharmacol Res* 1988;5:300–304.
- Gallo JM, Hung CT, Gupta PK, and Perrier DG: Physiological pharmacokinetic of adriamycin delivered via magnetic albumin microspheres in the rat. *J Pharmacokin Biopharm* 1989;17:305–326.
- Winoto-Morbach S, and Müller-Ruchholz W: Preparation and functionality testing of biodegradable and biocompatible magnetic

- albumin nanospheres for cell labeling and immunomagnetic separation. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 1995;41:55-61.
25. Mosbach K, and Schröder U: Preparation and application of magnetic polymers for targeting of drugs. *FEBS Lett* 1979;102:112-116.
  26. Bach-Gansmo T: Ferrimagnetic susceptibility contrast agents. *Acta Radiologica Suppl* 1993;34:2-30.
  27. Fahlvik AK, Holtz E, Leander P, Schröder U, and Klaveness J: Magnetic starch microspheres, efficacy and elimination, a new organ-specific contrast agent for magnetic resonance imaging. *Investigative Radiology* 1990;25:113-120.
  28. Fahlvik AK, Holtz E, Schröder U, and Klaveness J: Magnetic starch microspheres, biodistribution and biotransformation, a new organ-specific contrast agent for magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest Radiol* 1990;25:793-797.
  29. Grüttner C, Teller J, Schütt W, Westphal F, and Paulke B-R: Preparation and characterization of magnetic nanospheres for *in vivo* application, First International Conference on the Scientific and Clinical Applications of Magnetic Carriers, Rostock 1996, Book of Abstracts.
  30. Häfeli UO, Sweeney SM, Beresford BA, Sim EH, and Macklis RM: Magnetically directed poly(lactic acid) <sup>90</sup>Y-microspheres: Novel agents for targeted intracavitary radiotherapy. *J Biomed Mat Res* 1994;28:901-908.
  31. Häfeli UO, Sweeney SM, Beresford BA, Humm JL, and Macklis RM: Effective targeting of magnetic radioactive <sup>90</sup>Y-microspheres to tumor cells by an externally applied magnetic field. Preliminary *in vitro* and *in vivo* results. *Nucl Med Biol* 1995;22:147-155.
  32. Häfeli UO, Pauer J, and Macklis RM: Treatment of mouse tumors with radioactive magnetic microspheres: model for intracavitary radiotherapy. *Proc Int Symp Control Rel Bioact Mat* 1995;22:89-90.
  33. Molday RS, and Mackenzie D: Immunospecific ferromagnetic iron-dextran reagents for the labeling and magnetic separation of cells. *J Immunol Methods* 1982;52:353-367.
  34. Miltenyi S, Müller W, Weichel W, and Radbruch A: High gradient magnetic cell separation with MACS. *Cytometry* 1990;11:231-238.
  35. Torchilin VP, Papisov MI, Orekhova NM, Belyaev AA, Petrov AD, and Ragimov SE: Magnetically driven thrombolytic preparation containing immobilized streptokinase-targeted transport and action. *Haemostasis* 1988;18:113-116.
  36. Papisov MI, Bogdanov A, Schaffer B, Nossif N, Shen T, Weissleder R, and Brady TJ: Colloidal magnetic resonance contrast agents: effect of surface on biodistribution. *J Magn Magn Mat* 1993;122:383-386.
  37. Weissleder R, Bogdanov A, Neuwelt EA, and Papisov M: Long-circulating iron oxides for MR imaging. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 1995;16:321-334.
  38. Papisov M: Modeling *in vivo* transfer of long-circulating polymers (two classes of long circulating polymers and factors affecting their transfer *in vivo*). *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 1995;16:127-139.
  39. Reimer P, and Weissleder R: Entwicklung und experimenteller Einsatz von rezeptorspezifischen MR-Kontrastmitteln. *Radiologie* 1996;36:153-163.
  40. Chan DCF, Kirpotin DB, and Bunn PA Jr: Synthesis and evaluation of colloidal magnetic iron oxides for the site-specific radiofrequency-induced hyperthermia of cancer. *J Magn Magn Mat* 1993;122:374-378.
  41. Jordan A, Wust P, Fählung H, John W, Hinz A, and Felix R: Inductive heating of ferrimagnetic particles and magnetic fluids: Physical evaluation of their potential for hyperthermia. *Int J Hyperthermia* 1993;9:51-68.
  42. Jordan A, Scholz R, Fählung H, Krause J, Wust P, Konstanczak P, Wlodarczyk W, Sander B, Vogl T, and Felix R: Effects of magnetic fluid hyperthermia (MFH) on C3H mammary carcinoma *in vivo*. *Int J Hyperthermia* 1996;6:1-20.
  43. Gallo JM, and Pulfer S: Targeting magnetic microspheres to brain tumors, First International Conference on the Scientific and Clinical Applications of Magnetic Carriers, Rostock 1996, Book of Abstracts.
  44. Autenshlyus AI, Brusentsov NA, and Lockshin A: Magnetic-sensitive dextran-ferrite immunosorbents (for diagnostic and therapy). *J Magn Magn Mat* 1993;122:360-363.
  45. Hassan EE, Parish RC, and Gallo JM: Optimized formulation of magnetic chitosan microspheres containing the anticancer agent, oxantrazole. *Pharm Res* 1992;9:390-397.
  46. Hassan EE, and Gallo JM: Targeting anticancer drugs to the brain. I. Enhanced brain delivery of oxantrazole following administration in magnetic cationic microspheres. *J Drug Targeting* 1993;1:7-14.
  47. Ibrahim A, Couvreur P, Roland M, and Speiser P: New magnetic drug carrier. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1983;35:59-61.
  48. Kharkevich DA, Alyautdin RN, and Filipov VI: Employment of magnetic-susceptible microparticles for the targeting of drugs. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1989;41:286-288.
  49. Kato T: In: *Controlled Drug Delivery*. Bruck SD (Ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1982, p. 189.
  50. Ugelstad J, Stenstad P, Kilaas L, Prestvik WS, Herje R, Berge A, and Hornes E: Monodisperse magnetic polymer particles. *Blood Purif* 1993;11:349-369.
  51. Rembaum A, Ugelstad J, Kemshead JT, Chang M, and Richards G: Cell labeling and separation by means of monodisperse magnetic and nonmagnetic microspheres, In: *Microspheres and Drug Therapy. Pharmaceutical, Immunological and Medical Aspects*. Davis SS, Illum L, McVie JG, and Tomlinson E (Eds.). Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., New York, Oxford B.V., 1984, pp. 383-391.
  52. Falkenhagen D, Weber C, Schima H, Loth F, Rajnoch Ch, Vogt G, Moser H, and Mitzner S: A new technology of simultaneous filtration/adsorption based on an "high-speed" recirculation of nano- and microspheres for extracorporeal blood purification. *Biomedizinische Technik* 1994;39:105-108.
  53. Weber C, Rajnoch C, Loth F, Schima H, and Falkenhagen D: The microspheres based detoxification system (MDS) A new extracorporeal blood purification technology based on recirculated microspherical adsorbent particles. *Int J Artificial Org* 1994;17:595-602.
  54. Bormer O, and Nustad K: Selection of monoclonal antibodies for use in an immunometric assay for carcinoembryonic antigen. *J Immunol Methods* 1990;127:171-178.
  55. Molteni L: Dextran and inulin conjugates as drug carriers, In: Academic Press, Inc., 1985, p. 285-299.
  56. Ugelstad J, Kilaas L, Aune O, Bjorgum J, Herje R, Schmid R, Stenstad P, and Berge A: Monodisperse polymer particles: Preparation and new biochemical and biomedical applications, In: *Advances in biomagnetic separation*. Uhlen M, Hornes E, and Olsvik O (Eds.). Eaton Publishing, Natick, 1994, pp. 1-20.
  57. Arshady R: Suspension, emulsion and dispersion polymerization. A methodological survey. *Colloid Polym Sci* 1992;270:717-732.
  58. Arshady R: Beaded polymer supports and gels. I. Manufacturing techniques. *J Chromatogr* 1991;586:181-197.
  59. Arshady R: Beaded polymer supports and gels. II. Physicochemical criteria and functionalization. *J Chromatogr* 1991;586:198-219.
  60. Illum L, Davis SS, Müller RH, Mak E, and West P: The organ distribution and circulation time of intravenously injected colloidal carriers sterically stabilized with a block copolymer-polyamine 908. *Life Sci* 1987;40:367-374.
  61. Tchikov V, Kuznetsov A, and Schütt W: Analytical Cell Magne-

- trophoresis. In: *Physical Characterization of Biological Cells*. Schütt W, Klinkmann H, Lamprecht I, and Wilson T (Eds.) Verlag Gesundheit GmbH, Berlin, 1991.
62. Reddy S, Moore LR, Sun L, Zborowsky M, and Chalmers JJ: Determination of the magnetic susceptibility of labeled particles by video imaging. *Chem Eng Sci* 1996;51:947-956.
  63. Hartig R, Hausmann M, Schmitt J, Herrmann DBJ, Riedmiller M, and Cremer C: Preparative continuous separation of biological particles by means of free-flow-magnetophoresis in a free-flow-electrophoresis chamber. *Electrophoresis* 1992;13:674-676.
  64. Senyei AE, Widder KJ, and Czerlinski G: Magnetic guidance of drug-carrying microspheres. *J Appl Phys* 1978;49:3578-3583.
  65. Klibanov AL, Maruyama K, Torchilin V, and Huang L: Amphiphatic polyethylene glycols effectively prolong the circulation time of liposomes. *FEBS Lett* 1990;268:235-237.
  66. Schütt W, and Klinkmann H (Eds.) *Cell Electrophoresis*. De Gruyter, New York, Berlin, 1985.
  67. Marlow B, and Fairhurst D, and Schütt W: Electrophoretic fingerprinting and the biological activity of colloidal indicators. *Langmuir* 1988;4:776-780.
  68. Zborowsky M, Fuh CB, Green R, Sun L, and Chalmers JJ: Analytical magnetophoresis of ferritin-labeled lymphocytes. *Anal Chem* 1995;67:3702-3712.
  69. Lea T, Vartdal F, Nustad K, Funderud S, Berge A, Ellingsen T, Schid R, Stenstad P, and Ugelstad J: Monosized, Magnetic Polymer Particles: Their use in separation of cells and subcellular components, and in the study of lymphocyte function *in vitro*. *J Mol Recogn* 1988;1:9-18.
  70. Richards AJ, Thomas TE, Roath OS, Watson JHP, Smith RJS, and Lansdorp PM: Improved high gradient magnetic separation for the positive selection of human blood mononuclear cells using. *J Magn Magn Mat* 1993;122:364-366.
  71. Gref R, Minamitake Y, Peracchia MT, Trubetskoy V, Torchilin V, and Langer R: Biodegradable long-circulating polymeric nanospheres. *Science* 1994;263:1600-1603.
  72. Kresse M, Pfefferer D, and Lawaczek R: Magnetopharmaka. *Dtsch Apoth-Ztg* 1994;134:3079-3089.
  73. Brasch: New directions in the development of MR imaging contrast media. *Radiology* 1992;183:1-11.
  74. Yatvin MB, Kreutz W, Horwitz BA, and Shinitzky M: PH-sensitive liposomes: Possible clinical applications. *Science* 1980;210:1253-1255.
  75. Sullivan SM, and Huang L: Preparation and characterization of heat-sensitive immunoliposomes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1985;812:116-126.
  76. Senyei AE, Reich SD, Gonczy C, and Widder KJ: *In vivo* kinetics of magnetically targeted low-dose doxorubicin. *J Pharm Sciences* 1981;70:389-391.
  77. Sonavaria VJ, Jambhekar S, and Maher T: *In vivo* evaluation of camptothecin microspheres for targeted drug delivery. *Proc Intern Control Rel Bioact Mater* 1994;21:194-197.
  78. Vyas SP, and Malaiya A: *In vivo* characterization of indomethacin magnetic polymethylmethacrylate nanoparticles. *J Microencapsulation* 1989;6:493-499.
  79. Fruitwala MA, and Sanghavi NM: Site-specific drug targeting with fluorouracil microspheres. *Drug Delivery* 1996;3:5-8.
  80. Morimoto Y, Sugibayashi K, Okumura M, and Kato Y: Biomedical applications of magnetic fluids. I. Magnetic guidance of ferrocolloid-entrapped albumin microsphere for site specific drug delivery *in vivo*. *J Pharmacobio Dynamics* 1980;3:264-267.
  81. Morimoto Y, Okumura M, Sugibayashi K, and Kato Y: Biomedical applications of magnetic fluids. II. Preparation and magnetic guidance of magnetic albumin microsphere for site specific drug delivery *in vivo*. *J Pharmacobio Dynamics* 1981;4:624-631.
  82. Viroonchatapan E, Sato H, Ueno M, Adachi I, Tazawa K, and Horikoshi I: Magnetic targeting of thermosensitive magnetoliposomes to mouse livers in an *in situ* on-line perfusion system. *Life Sci* 1996;58:2251-2261.
  83. Allen LM, Kent T, Johnson J, Wolfe C, and Ficco C: Magnetically susceptible drug carrier: paclitaxel binding and release. First International Conference on the Scientific and Clinical Applications of Magnetic Carriers, Rostock 1996, Book of Abstracts.
  84. Devineni D, Klein-Szanto A, and Gallo JM: Tissue distribution of methotrexate following administration as a solution and as a magnetic microsphere conjugate in rats bearing brain tumors. *J Neuro-Oncol* 1995;24:143-152.
  85. Gordon RT, Hines JR, and Gordon D: Intracellular hyperthermia. A biophysical approach to cancer treatment via intracellular temperature and biophysical alterations. *Medical Hypotheses* 1979;5:83-102.
  86. Sako M, and Hirota S: Embolotherapy of hepatomas using ferromagnetic microspheres, its clinical evaluation and the prospect of its use as a vehicle in chemoembolo-hyperthermic therapy. *Gan to Kagaku Ryoho* 1986;13:1618-1624.
  87. Hase M, Sako M, Fujii M, Ueda E, Nagae T, Shimizu T, Hirota S, and Kono M: Experimental study of embolo-hyperthermia for treatment of liver tumor-induction heating to ferromagnetic particles injected into tumor tissue. *Nippon Acta Radiologica* 1989;49:1171-1173.
  88. Takemori S, Tazawa K, Nagae H, Shimizu T, Masuko Y, Okamoto M, and Fujimaki M: Hyperthermia for cancer with dextran magnetite using tubular implants. *Gan to Kagaku Ryoho* 1982;19:1648-1650.
  89. Wust P, Rau B, Gremmler M, Schlag P, Jordan A, Löffel J, Riess H, and Felix R: Radio-Thermography in multimodal surgical treatment concepts. *Onkologie* 1995;18:110-121.
  90. Müller-Schulte D, Füssl F, Lueken H, and De Cyper M: Neuer Ansatz für die AIDS-Therapie unter Verwendung superparamagnetischer Nanopartikel. *Alma Mater Aequensis—Berichte aus dem Leben der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule Aachen (Germany):1994/1995:31*, pp. 174-187.
  91. Nozawa I, Suzuki Y, Sato S, Juni K, Sugibayashi, and Morimoto: Preparation and evaluation of biodegradable thermoresponsive microspheres. *J Contr Release* 1991;17:33-40.
  92. Sgouros G: Plasmapheresis in radioimmunotherapy of micrometastases: a mathematical modeling and dosimetrical analysis. *J Nucl Med* 1992;33:2167-2179.
  93. DeNardo GL, Maddock SW, Sgouros G, Scheibe PO, and DeNardo SJ: Immunoabsorption: An enhancement strategy for radioimmunotherapy. *J Nucl Med* 1993;34:1020-1027.
  94. Fujimori K, Covell DG, Fletcher JE, and Weinstein JN: A modeling system of monoclonal antibody percolation through tumors: A binding-site barrier. *J Nucl Med* 1990;31:1191-1198.
  95. Larson SM, Divgi CR, Scott MC, Sgouros G, Graham MC, Kostakoglu L, Scheinberg D, Cheung N-KV, Schlom J, and Finn RD: Current status of radioimmunotherapy. *Nucl Med Biol* 1994;21:785-792.
  96. Sautter-Bihl ML, and Bihl H: Radioimmunotherapy with monoclonal antibodies. *Nucl-Med* 1994;33:167-173.
  97. Khaw BA, Klibanov A, O'Donnell SM, Saito T, Nossif N, Slinkin MA, Newell JB, Strauss HW, and Torchilin VP: Gamma imaging with negatively charge-modified monoclonal antibody: Modification with synthetic polymers. *J Nucl Med* 1991;32:1742-1751.
  98. Bacri JC, Da Silva MF, Perzynski R, Halbreich A, Sestier C, Sabolovic, Pons JN, and Roger J: Use of magnetic particles for thermolysis of cells in a ferrofluid. First International Conference on the Scientific and Clinical Applications of Magnetic Carriers, Rostock 1996, Book of Abstracts.
  99. McNeil RG, Ritter RC, Wang B, Lawson MA, Gillies GT, Wika KG, Quate EG, Howard MA, and Grady MS: Functional design features and initial performance characteristics of a magnetic-implant guidance system for stereotaxic neurosurgery. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1995;42:793-801.



100. McNeil RG, Ritter RC, Wang B, Lawson MA, Gillies GT, Wika KG, Quate EG, Howard MA, and Grady MS: Characteristics of an improved magnetic-implant guidance system. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1995;42:802-808.
101. Howard MA, Grady MS, Ritter RC, Gillies GT, Quate EG, and Molloy JA: Magnetic movement of a brain thermoceptor. *Neurosurgery* 1989;24:444-448.

Address reprint requests to:  
*Dr. W. Schütt*  
*University of Rostock*  
*Department of Nuclear Medicine*  
*Gertrudenplatz 1*  
*18055 Rostock, Germany*